

4 May 2017

MAJOR GOLD AND NICKEL DRILL PROGRAMME AT EAST LAVERTON PROJECT

HIGHLIGHTS:

Windsor Nickel Sulphide Prospect at East Laverton:

- Electromagnetic (EM) conductor at Windsor with very high conductivity of 210,000 Siemens will be drilled
- The new downhole EM (DHEM) plate to be tested has been modelled from the DHEM survey in WINDD004 that was drilled within 5 metres of the EM conductor
- Nickel sulphides in drill core of WINDD004 support the potential for the EM conductor to represent massive nickel sulphides

Gold Drilling at East Laverton:

- 5,000m of planned drilling to test pipeline of gold targets on three major greenstone belts at East Laverton
- Gold anomalism identified in all three greenstone belts by past exploration
- Underexplored region containing recent world-class gold discoveries at Tropicana (+8MozAu) and Gruyere (+6MozAu)

ST GEORGE READY TO DRILL AT EAST LAVERTON

St George Mining Limited (ASX: **SGQ**) ('St George Mining' or 'the Company') is pleased to announce a major drill programme at its 100% owned East Laverton Project in Western Australia. The drill rig is mobilising to site today with drilling expected to commence by this Saturday.

This reverse circulation (RC) drill programme will primarily focus on the pipeline of gold targets established across the three underexplored greenstone belts at East Laverton. Targets on all three major greenstone belts will be drilled with over 5,000m of drilling planned.

The drill programme will also include the drill testing of the highly conductive DHEM plate at the Windsor nickel sulphide prospect. At 210,000 Siemens, this is the most conductive nickel sulphide target identified at the East Laverton Project to date.

St George Mining Executive Chairman, John Prineas said:

"Our technical team, in conjunction with our external experts – Dr Walter Witt and Dr Jon Hronsky, have dedicated considerable time in developing the pipeline of gold targets across the East Laverton Project. We are pleased to commence drilling of these targets which have the potential for a significant gold discovery.

"The drilling of the extremely powerful EM conductor at Windsor has been much anticipated, and I'm happy to say that this outstanding nickel sulphide target will be the first to be drilled in this programme.

"With ongoing major drill programmes at our East Laverton and Mt Alexander Projects, it's a very exciting time for our shareholders."

WINDSOR – HIGHLY CONDUCTIVE EM TARGET

Past drilling by St George at the Windsor nickel sulphide prospect has resulted in numerous disseminated nickel sulphide intersections within channel facies komatiites, indicating an attractive exploration area for a massive nickel sulphide deposit.

Drill hole WINDD004 was drilled by St George to test the highly conductive DHEM plate WINRC016_v1 (**210,469 Siemens**) at Windsor. There was no conductive material in the drill core of WINDD004 capable of providing the extremely high EM response that was modelled for DHEM plate **WINRC016_v1**. The DHEM survey data from WINDD004 identified a strong off-hole EM anomaly about 5 metres to the north of WINDD004, indicating that WINDD004 came close to testing the conductive source but did not intersect it.

Newexco has completed further interpretation of the DHEM data from WINDD004 and WINRC016 resulting in a new DHEM plate – **WINDD004_v1**. This plate is modelled with a very high conductivity response, has dimensions of 50m x 10m and is situated 95m below surface.

Figure 1 is a cross section of drilling at Windsor which illustrates the location of DHEM plate WINDD004_v1 on the western contact of the Windsor ultramafic, a favourable setting for potential nickel sulphide mineralisation.




Figure 1 – Interpreted geological cross section of WINDD004, WINRC016 and WINRC015 (+/-50m) showing the WINDD004_v1 plate on the western contact of the Windsor ultramafic.

Nickel sulphide enrichment was identified in WINDD004 between 85m to 138m downhole with nickel values up to 1.05%Ni. The presence of nickel sulphide mineralisation adjacent to the DHEM plate supports the potential for this highly conductive target to represent massive nickel sulphides.

MAJOR GOLD DRILL PROGRAMME

The East Laverton Project is located near the eastern margin of the North Eastern Goldfields, an area that remains underexplored and where two of the most recent large greenfields gold discoveries in Australia - the +8MozAu Tropicana deposit and the +6MozAu Gruyere deposit – are also located.

Historical drilling, as well as recent nickel-focused drilling by St George, has identified widespread gold anomalism on all three main greenstone belts at the East Laverton Project. This gold anomalism has the potential to be associated with larger gold systems that could host significant gold deposits.

A pipeline of prospective gold targets has been identified by our technical team, working in conjunction with external experts Dr Walter Witt and Dr Jon Hronsky. Drill holes have been planned for these targets and prioritised for drilling.

The gold drill programme was scheduled to commence at East Laverton in March 2017 with 2,000m of planned drilling. The start of the drill programme was delayed due to unseasonal heavy rains in the North Eastern Goldfields that caused numerous road closures by local shires, and prevented access to our East Laverton Project. The delay in the start of the programme has allowed further drill targets to be finalised and added to the programme, expanding it to over 5,000m of planned drilling.

Figure 2 illustrates the priority gold targets situated across the three main greenstone belts at East Laverton.




Figure 2 - the East Laverton tenements against FVD Bouguer gravity data with priority gold prospects highlighted.

Cambridge Gold Prospect:

The first prospect to be drilled will be Cambridge on the Stella Range Belt. Figure 3 illustrates the four target areas at Cambridge that will be drilled in this programme.

Target A – drilling here will test for gold mineralisation in an embayment on the western contact of the large Cambridge ultramafic body. This is a favourable structural area with its gold prospectivity corroborated by the presence of gold soil anomalies.

Target B – this area is a section of the untested eastern contact of the ultramafic body where rheological contrast is at its greatest at a favourable fault bend location.




Figure 3 – a plan view of the Cambridge gold prospect (against RTP magnetic data) showing priority target areas and planned drilling.

Target C – this area encompasses the intersection of a fold axis and a reactive Fe-rich unit, with possibly dolerite mafic units. There is extensive anomalous Cu and Mo in soil samples for this area. Anomalous Au intervals were encountered in historical shallow drilling that includes 6m @ 0.41ppmAu from 15m in SRAB129, reflecting supergene gold.

Target D – this is a bulls-eye magnetic anomaly that is possibly an intrusion or folded BIF with extensive anomalous Cu and Mo in soil samples. Anomalous Au intervals in historical shallow drilling include 6m @ 0.74ppmAu from 6m in SRAB170, reflecting supergene gold.

Other Gold Prospects to be Drilled:

In addition to Cambridge, drilling is also planned in this programme for the prospects at Cambridge North, Desert Dragon, Bristol and Athena.

Further details of the drilling scheduled for these targets will be announced shortly.




Figure 4 – the main gold-bearing greenstone belts of the Yilgarn Craton, a world class gold province with an endowment of over 300 million ounces of gold. The East Laverton Project is in the eastern margin of the Yilgarn Craton, where major new discoveries continue to be made.

For further information, please contact:

John Prineas

Executive Chairman

St George Mining Limited

(+61) 411 421 253

John.prineas@stgm.com.au

Competent Person Statement:

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Targets, Exploration Results, Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves is based on information compiled by Mr Timothy Hronsky, a Competent Person who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Hronsky is employed by Essential Risk Solutions Ltd which has been retained by St George Mining Limited to provide technical advice on mineral projects.

Mr Hronsky has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Hronsky consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The following section is provided for compliance with requirements for the reporting of exploration results under the JORC Code, 2012 Edition.

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i>	<p>This ASX Release dated 4 May 2017 reports on the 2017 gold and nickel drill programme at the Company’s East Laverton Project.</p> <p>The current drilling programme is being completed by reverse circulation (RC) drilling.</p> <p><i>RC Sampling:</i> All samples from the RC drilling are taken as 1m samples. Samples are sent to Intertek Laboratories for assaying.</p> <p>Appropriate QAQC samples (standards, blanks and duplicates) are inserted into the sequences as per industry best practice. Samples are collected using cone or riffle splitter. Geological logging of RC chips is completed at site with representative chips being stored in drill chip trays.</p> <p>Onsite XRF analysis is conducted on the fines from RC chips using a hand-held Olympus Innov-X Spectrum Analyser. These results are only used for onsite interpretation and preliminary assessment subject to final geochemical analysis by laboratory assays.</p>
	<i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i>	<p><i>RC Sampling:</i> The RC drilling rig has a cone splitter built into the cyclone on the rig. Samples are taken on a one meter basis and collected directly from the splitter into uniquely numbered calico bags. The calico bag contains a representative sample from the drill return for that metre. This results in a representative sample being taken from drill return, for that metre of drilling. The remaining majority of the sample return for that metre is collected and stored in a green plastic bag marked with that specific metre interval. The cyclone is blown through with compressed air after each plastic and calico sample bag is removed. If wet sample or clays are encountered then the cyclone is opened and cleaned manually and with the aid of a compressed air gun.</p> <p>A large auxiliary compressor (“air-pack”) is mounted on a separate truck and the airstream is connected to the rig. This provides an addition to the compressed air supplied by the in-built compressors mounted on the drill rig itself. This auxiliary compressor maximises the sample return through restricting air pressure loss, especially in deeper holes. In addition, the high and consistent levels of air pressure minimise the number of drill samples.</p> <p>Geological logging of RC chips is completed at site with representative chips being stored in drill chip trays. Downhole surveys of dip and azimuth are conducted using a single shot camera every 30m to detect deviations of the hole from the planned dip and azimuth. The drill-hole collar locations were recorded using a hand held GPS, which has an accuracy of +/- 5m. At a later date the drill-hole collar will be surveyed to a greater degree of accuracy.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</p> <p>In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</p>	<p><i>RC Sampling:</i> A 1m composite sample is taken from the bulk sample of RC chips that may weigh in excess of 40 kg. Assay preparation for the current drilling program will be completed by Intertek.</p> <p>Assays are undertaken at Intertek in Kalgoorlie and Perth. Samples are sent to Intertek where they are crushed to 6 mm and then pulverised to 75 microns. A 30 g charge of the sample is fire assayed for gold, platinum and palladium. The detection range for gold is 1 – 2000 ppbAu, and 0.5 – 2000 ppb for platinum and palladium. This is believed to be an appropriate detection level for these elements within this specific mineral environment. However, should Au, Pt or Pd levels reported exceed these levels an additional assay method will be used to re-test samples.</p> <p>All other metals will be analysed using an acid digest and an ICP finish. The sample is digested with nitric, hydrochloric, hydrofluoric and perchloric acids to effect as near to total solubility of the sample as possible. The solution containing samples of interest, including those that need further review, will then be presented to an ICP-OES for the further quantification of the selected elements.</p>
Drilling techniques	<p>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</p>	<p><i>RC Sampling:</i> The RC drilling uses a 140 mm diameter face hammer tool. High capacity air compressors on the drill rig are used to ensure a continuously sealed and high pressure system during drilling to maximise the recovery of the drill cuttings, and to ensure chips remain dry to the maximum extent possible.</p>
Drill sample recovery	<p>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</p>	<p><i>RC Sampling:</i> RC samples are visually checked for recovery, moisture and contamination. Geological logging is completed at site with representative RC chips stored in chip trays.</p>
	<p>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</p>	<p><i>RC Sampling:</i> Samples are collected using cone or riffle splitter. Geological logging of RC chips is completed at site with representative chips being stored in drill chip trays.</p>
	<p>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</p>	<p>To date, no detailed analysis to determine the relationship between sample recovery and grade has been undertaken for any drill program. This analysis will be conducted following any economic discovery.</p> <p>The nature of magmatic sulphide distribution hosted by the competent and consistent rocks hosting any mineralised intervals are considered to significantly reduce any possible issue of sample bias due to material loss or gain.</p>
Logging	<p>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</p>	<p>Geological logging is carried out on all drill holes with lithology, alteration, mineralisation, structure and veining recorded.</p>
	<p>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</p>	<p>Logging of diamond core and RC samples records lithology, mineralogy, mineralisation, structures (core only), weathering, colour and other noticeable features. Core was photographed in both dry and wet form.</p>
	<p>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</p>	<p>All drill holes are geologically logged in full and detailed litho-geochemical information is collected by the field XRF unit. The data relating to the elements analysed is used to determine further information regarding the detailed rock composition.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i>	Drilling is only by RC drilling at this stage.
	<i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i>	RC samples are collected in dry form. Samples are collected using cone or riffle splitter when available. Geological logging of RC chips is completed at site with representative chips being stored in drill chip trays.
	<i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i>	<i>RC Sampling:</i> Sample preparation for RC chips follows a standard protocol. Assay preparation procedures ensure the entire sample is pulverised to 75 microns before the sub-sample is taken. This removes the potential for the significant sub-sampling bias that can be introduced at this stage.
	<i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i>	<i>RC Sampling:</i> Field QC procedures maximise representivity of RC samples and involve the use of certified reference material as assay standards, along with blanks, duplicates and barren washes.
	<i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i>	<i>RC Sampling:</i> Field duplicates were taken on 1m composites for RC samples.
<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	The sample sizes are considered to be appropriate to correctly represent the sulphide mineralisation at the East Laverton Property based on: the style of mineralisation (massive and disseminated sulphides), the thickness and consistency of the intersections and the sampling methodology.	
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i>	For RC sampling, a 30 gram sample will be fire assayed for gold, platinum and palladium. The detection range for gold is 1 – 2000 ppbAu, and 0.5 – 2000 ppb for platinum and palladium. This is believed to be an appropriate detection level for the levels of these elements within this specific mineral environment. However, should Au, Pt or Pd levels reported exceed these levels; an alternative assay method will be selected. All other metals will be analysed using an acid digest and an ICP finish. The sample is digested with nitric, hydrochloric, hydrofluoric and perchloric acids to effect as near to total solubility of the sample as possible. The solution containing samples of interest, including those that need further review, will then be presented to an ICP-OES for the further quantification of the selected elements.
	<i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i>	A handheld XRF instrument (Olympus Innov-X Spectrum Analyser) is used to systematically analyse the drill core and RC chips onsite. Reading time was 60 seconds. The instruments are serviced and calibrated at least once a year. Field calibration of the XRF instrument using standards is undertaken each day.
	<i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i>	Laboratory QAQC involves the use of internal lab standards using certified reference material, blanks, splits and replicates as part of in house procedures. The Company will also submit an independent suite of CRMs, blanks and field duplicates (see above).
Verification of sampling and assaying	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i>	Significant intersections are verified by the Company's Technical Director and Consulting Field Geologist.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	No twinned holes have been completed.
	<i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i>	Geological data was collected using handwritten log sheets and imported in the field onto a laptop detailing geology (weathering, structure, alteration, mineralisation), sampling quality and intervals, sample numbers, QA/QC and survey data. This data, together with the assay data received from the laboratory and subsequent survey data was entered into the Company's database.
	<i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	No adjustments or calibrations will be made to any primary assay data collected for the purpose of reporting assay grades and mineralised intervals. For the geological analysis, standards and recognised factors may be used to calculate the oxide form assayed elements, or to calculate volatile free mineral levels in rocks.
Location of data points	<i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i>	Drill hole collar locations are determined using a handheld GPS with an accuracy of +/- 5m. Down hole surveys of dip and azimuth were conducted using a single shot camera every 30m to detect deviations of the hole from the planned dip and azimuths.
	<i>Specification of the grid system used.</i>	The grid system used is GDA94, MGA Zone 51.
	<i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	Best estimated RLs were assigned during drilling and are to be corrected at a later stage.
Data spacing and distribution	<i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	The spacing and distribution of holes is not relevant to the drilling programs which are at the exploration stage.
	<i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	Drilling at the East Laverton Project is at the exploration stage and mineralisation has not yet demonstrated to be sufficient in both geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications to be applied.
	<i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i>	Samples are taken at one metre lengths and adjusted where necessary to reflect local variations in geology or where visible mineralised zones are encountered, in order to preserve the samples as representative.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i>	The drill holes are drilled towards 060 at an angle of -60 degrees (unless otherwise stated) to intersect the modelled mineralised zones at a near perpendicular orientation. However, the orientation of key structures may be locally variable and any relationship to mineralisation has yet to be identified.
	<i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	No orientation based sampling bias has been identified in the data to date.
Sample security	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	Chain of Custody is managed by the Company until samples pass to a duly certified assay laboratory for subsampling and assaying. The RC sample bags are stored on secure sites and delivered to the assay laboratory by the Company or a competent agent. When in transit, they are kept in locked premises. Transport logs have been set up to track the progress of samples. The chain of custody passes upon delivery of the samples to the assay laboratory.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	Sampling techniques and procedures are regularly reviewed internally, as is data. To date, no external audits have been completed on the drilling programme.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in section 1 will also apply to this section where relevant)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral Tenement and Land Status	<p>Type, name/reference number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties including joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</p> <p>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</p>	<p>The East Laverton Project comprises 27 exploration licences, and details are available in the Company's Quarterly Activities Report which can be found on our website at www.stgm.com.au.</p> <p>Each tenement is 100% owned by Desert Fox Resources Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of St George Mining. Certain tenements are subject to a 2% Net Smelter Royalty in favour of a third party.</p> <p>An additional two exploration licences are owned directly by St George Mining Limited, and are referred to as the Lake Minigwal Project that hosts the Atlas gold target.</p> <p>None of the tenements are the subject of a native title claim. No environmentally sensitive sites have been identified at any of the tenements. The tenements are in good standing; no known impediments exist.</p>
Exploration Done by Other Parties	<p>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</p>	<p>Gold Exploration:</p> <p>Historical exploration drilling targeting gold was completed mainly by WMC Resources in the early 1990s. This drilling was relatively shallow, mostly less than 100m.</p> <p>The historical drilling along the Minigwal belt defined linear zones of anomalous gold and copper in the regolith that extend over 1,300m and are open to the south towards the Ascalon target.</p> <p>The Bristol gold target is situated along the Central Belt within the East Laverton Project. Widespread anomalous gold (>0.5g/t Au) was encountered over a 1km strike length from shallow drilling in this area completed in the 1990s by previous exploration.</p> <p>The average hole-depth for the past drilling at Bristol was approximately 40m and identified anomalous gold in the lower regolith. Significantly, gold anomalism in seven of the eight drill holes occurs at the end of hole. The continuation of this gold mineralisation, or the presence of bedrock gold mineralisation, has never been tested.</p> <p>The gold anomalism is situated on the contact of the Bristol ultramafics/mafics with granites, as defined by a distinct magnetic and gravity gradient. This is a favourable setting for gold mineralisation.</p> <p>Savanna Mineral Resources Pty Ltd completed a number of shallow drill programmes across the Stella Range Belt during the 1990's including the series of drill holes designated SRAB001 to 176. Anomalous gold was identified in numerous drill holes, interpreted to be supergene gold. The presence of bedrock gold mineralisation at St George's gold targets has never been tested.</p> <p>Nickel Exploration:</p> <p>In 2012, BHP Billiton Nickel West Pty Ltd (Nickel West) completed a reconnaissance RC (reverse circulation) drilling programme at the East Laverton Property as part of the Project Dragon farm-in arrangement between Nickel West and the Company. That farm-in arrangement has been terminated. The drilling programme comprised 35 RC holes for 8,560m drilled.</p> <p>The results from the Nickel West drilling programme were reported by the Company in its ASX Release dated 25 October 2012 "Drill Results at Project Dragon". Drilling intersected primary nickel sulphide mineralisation and established the presence of fertile, high MgO ultramafic sequences at the East Laverton Property.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Prior to the Project Dragon drilling programme, there was no systematic exploration for nickel sulphides at the East Laverton Property. Historical exploration in the region was dominated by shallow RAB and aircore drilling, much of which had been incompletely sampled, assayed, and logged. This early work was focused on gold rather than nickel sulphide exploration.
Geology	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation</i>	The Company's East Laverton Property located in the NE corner of the Eastern Goldfields Province of the Archean Yilgarn Craton. Reconnaissance drilling has identified extensive greenstones at the Property, which is interpreted to be prospective for Orogenic gold mineralisation.
Drill hole information	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> • <i>Elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in meters) of the drill hole collar</i> • <i>Dip and azimuth of the hole</i> • <i>Down hole length and interception depth</i> • <i>Hole length</i> 	Refer to information in the body of this announcement.
Data aggregation methods	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p>	No top-cuts have been applied unless otherwise indicated.
	<i>Where aggregated intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i>	High grade intervals internal to broader zones of mineralisation are reported as included intervals.
	<i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	No metal equivalent values are used for reporting exploration results.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of exploration results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect.</i>	The geometry of the mineralisation is not yet known due to insufficient deep drilling in the targeted area.
Diagrams	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plane view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	Maps are included in the body of the ASX Release.
Balanced Reporting	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practical, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	<p>Reports on recent exploration can be found in ASX Releases that are available on our website at www.stgm.com.au:</p> <p>The exploration results reported are representative of the mineralisation style with grades and/or widths reported in a consistent manner.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observation; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	All meaningful and material information has been included in the body of the text. No metallurgical or mineralogical assessments have been completed.
Further Work	<i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large – scale step – out drilling).Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	A discussion of further exploration work is contained in the body of the ASX Release.